

1. Information before the event and communication with organiser

POL:	<p>A bit too much fun(?) in the articles of the website, but else good.</p> <p>The entry procedure wasn't easy to understand. I know of some people who had problems. The website was too chatty and there were things that would be great in a blog, but not on the official organiser's website. Bulletin 4 contained too many mistakes.</p> <p>It took the organizers ages to answer emails, especially about accommodation questions, I wasn't involved in the rest. Bulletins were generally late and Bulletin 4 was quite wrong and the correct Version only available on the Internet. The Website contained too much rubbish and jokes which should have found its place on a separate blog.</p>
-------------	--

2. Event programme

DEN:	<p>First Bulletin was late and didn't contain all necessary Information. It was a pity that the open competitors missed the prize givings of the long and the relay.</p> <p>A middle instead of mixed relay would have been good. I only had 2 races as not enough teammates for a relay.</p>
SWE:	<p>It was not good to have it as a side event of the large O-Ringen. Should have been more separate, including the website. Partly there have been controls out in the forest for an open competition with same control number just 1 or 2 crossings away.</p> <p>There could have been one more open competition</p>
POL:	<p>On sprint day there was a problem, when Masters were let in Quarantine before all the Elite were out of there, possibly could have transmitted information from finish to start.</p> <p>The Masters were clearly a side event.</p> <p>1 The outdoor area (in every city, there are places where I can sit 200 people) are not very respectful for guests who have to stand all the opening ceremony in the hold and the rain. Everywhere there are covered grounds and halls, and theaters..</p> <p>2 is very tightened ceremony (and open/ and elite/ juniors/ and seniors) - not professionally for profsportsmenov stand in the rain, and just 2 hours to stand before main starts.</p> <p>Too late starts for Masters.</p> <p>Masters always went last, often late in the afternoon and always seemed to be an irritant to the organisers to be got out of the way.</p> <p>I liked the relaxed atmosphere of the web news. Unclear info on competition areas and accommodation. I'd prefer a more compact programme for Masters (needing fewer days off from job and home).</p> <p>A pity that the relay for the masters was cancelled at the last minute. This was a big disappointment for many.</p>

3. Event Centre

DEN:	<p>Wireless problems</p> <p>Very small organisation so sometimes it was hard to find any organizers in the event center when there were no real office. At the same time I think it's important to be able to organize a World cup without a huge number of staff as that should keep the cost down and making it cheaper for competitors and organizers.</p> <p>There was no Event Centre!! The only staff was the Event Director. At the Long the "Event Centre" consisted of a chair and a piece of paper with the word "Event Centre"...</p> <p>I stayed in another place, so I don't know it well.</p> <p>First Aid was missing! At sprint distance a Swiss women needed a cool bag or some ice, but nothing was there....</p>
SWE:	<p>Was there much infrastructure? I didn't see a team. One person as organiser and the event advisor plus 1-2 three helping hands? The event advisor held the team leaders meeting and put the news on the website. Is this the task of an event advisor?</p> <p>No Internet Access at the Event Center or at the competition sites.</p>
POL:	<p>Only a few had knowledge, but theirs has been very good.</p> <p>Free internet access was only available in the basement of the Hotel Bukowisko, but that was ok.</p> <p>The staff was helpful, but not competent in all cases (not exact Information flow within the staff).</p> <p>WiFi was a problem.</p> <p>Bad internet access.</p>

4. Accommodation and food

DEN:	<p>The easiest is always when you are allowed to keep your bikes in your room or hut as the case was here. Good bike wash!</p> <p>Prices were reasonable for Denmark, which is quite expensive country.</p> <p>Excellent accommodation at a very good price.</p> <p>Food was very nice and you could have as much as you wanted without having to fear that the food would run out if you arrived a little later. It was also great to be allowed to make lunch packs at breakfast.</p> <p>Bike storage in the own hut. So it was secure. It was good to have the bike available every time if you want. It's so much better to have all competitors at one place!!! It's very social. The food was excellent and the people of the service were brilliant!</p>
POL:	<p>Not possible to just have breakfast</p> <p>Good value of money</p> <p>Prices for Food: There was a reall gap between the official prices and those we booked ourselves.</p> <p>Great Espresso coffee. Dinner (evening) was unacceptable - when more food was served the last days, we had got used to nice restaurants in town.</p>

POL:	Bike storage was in an unlocked Garage. We kept the bikes illegally in the rooms. We first just booked breakfast via the organizers. When we saw that dinner was OK we booked it directly at the hotel for a much lower price.
-------------	--

5. Transport

DEN:	<p>No signposting to the competitions. Since there was no signposting some teams followed their GPS and ended up driving through the competition terrain at the sprint. It didn't really matter as the parking was in the middle of the competition area anyway, so late starters could watch competitors in the middle of their race. From the parking it was even possible to see some controls and decide on the route choice...</p> <p>Parking at Sprint and Relay were in quite dangerous places if you arrived with the car during the race.</p> <p>Meadow for parking during the relay was not mowed. Someone can damage the car if there is obstacle hidden in the grass.</p> <p>Going to the sprint, you could easily believe you've driven into the competition area as there were no signs at all.</p>
SWE:	<p>Middle race was quite chaotic. Future organisers should consider special 'housecar' parking as they are large and take up a lot of room.</p> <p>No signposts. You really had to know very well where to go.</p>
POL:	<p>The official transport to the competitions was good, but you had to wait a long time for the transport back.</p> <p>Some remarks about official transportation: We enrolled in transfer from the airport to the Event Center which turned out to be met at the airport then put on a public bus (47 zloti) to Bialystok, arrive there and wait (about 10-15 minutes not knowing what to do and who to call) before the organizers came to take us to Suprasl. The price for this was advertised as 50 EUR. Official transportation to the events and back were effective but the time scheduling was not well organized, people had to wait a very long time for the departure time.</p>

6. Feedback on different competitions

DEN:	<p>The sprint was excellent but the mass-start and relay were both dissappointments. Too Little forking in both in combination with no long route choices or areas with dense network of paths made the races more to mountain biking than really challenging orienteering. A lot of riders were together most of the time. In terms of safety especially the relay was really dangerous when there were no forking to the first Control and that was on a small track where everybody had to turn around and come back after punching the Control. Also the third and fourth Controls in the relay were very dangerous with riders trying to go both ways on narrow paths with fallen trees etc. In the mass start it was bad, but not quite as bad to the first Controls where the paths were wider and smoother. But because of too Little forking there were still a big number of riders together at the last 5-6 Controls in the mass-start and fighting for getting good positions on narrow paths where riders went both ways. That's not good course planning in my opinion. Mass-start was anyway more like a long middle distance race rather than a long distance.</p>
-------------	--

<p>DEN:</p>	<p>2 ToiTois are not enough! If most of the competitors had not pissed into the woods there would have been a seriously long queue at the toilets. Mass start races + relays MUST have more forks. Cross Country riding is a different sport that you can do if you want to. But I want to do Mountainbike-ORIENTEERING!!! Organizers have to be more aware of SAFETY! Having the warm up-zone in the competition area which is at the same time the access road to the parking is no good idea. Spectator part + finish run in at the relay were really dangerous. (Tight turns in wet places) The metal poles which were used for fastening the red-white-tapes can be deadly if you happen to crash on one of them. Something that can easily happen in a bike race. But apparently the organizers were foot-orienteers who did not think about that at all. Partly no results in the finish area and if yes, then really late. The speaker was good but the rest of the finish arena reminded more of a local evening race. No tents or shelter in the start/finish areas for the riders. What if it had rained?? No possibility to warm down in the finish quarantine after the sprint.</p> <p>Sprint: warm-up in the competition area - route to one or two controls was through the warm up area. Start was too close to the finish/waiting area. Excellent course and terrain!!!! Loved the competition :-) Long: start was confusing...to many competitors on a "small track" (road) at the beginning. To much biking, a little bit too few orienteering. Course was although very interesting and a very nice terrain and tracks. Mixed-Relay: good atmosphere and an interesting race. Too few orienteering! And too less forks!! First control was the same fir everyone - no go!!!</p> <p>Long distance was dangerous, to less forkes! Sprint: the passage by the parking and warm up area was unsuitable!!! Relay: needs also a better forki g sxstem than the 1. control for all the same and way in and out!</p> <p>Safety: Too many riders in the terrain at the same time at Long distance massstart. Fairness (Sprint): Parking in the middle of the competition area isn't fair on early Starters. Quarantine (Sprint): too small, no cool down possible, too close, i.e. communication was easily possible. Start (Sprint): not quiet, hand-over of maps behind building would have been much better. Finish arenas: no display of results, not spectator-friendly because it wasn't possible to follow the competition, no water available.</p> <p>The long distance's second lap's ending was a bit dangerous because there were both men and women at the same time on narrow tracks coming to and going back from controls (maybe should have been more like ride-through and continue more than turn-around and come back the same narrow path) and everyone were more or less aggressively fighting for positions so not really safe and maybe the course could have been planned a bit differently.</p> <p>No start quarantine in sprint. Originally it was allowed to have electronic devices before start until it was pointed out late starters could access gps tracking. No marshals at railway crossing in long.</p> <p>Both mass starts was affected by too narrow path. Large place would be better. On the relay there was very risky situation with one single road used for all - cars, warm-up, start, spectators leg. Luckily no one was harmed, but such situations should be avoided in the future.</p>
<p>SWE:</p>	<p>Controls in the wrong place in the sprint, quarantine for sprint was in a open sunny square, quarantine for middle was long and with a long bike ride to get there. Dangerous butterfly in long race.</p> <p>I understand organisers were under alot of pressure. Maps were difficult to read,</p>

SWE:	<p>especially in the middle race - but this is due to experience rather than oversight. Ask for help when needed in future. The best was made of the terrains, and they were varied each day which was really nice. GPS tracking would have reduced shortcutting (mostly accidental in middle). When red group started, lots of shortcuts out there made it hard to understand which was the correct path. Start was not always quiet. Start officials were attentive but chatty. Quarantine zones were good with lots of warm up space. Some safety considerations in the long, but extensively discussed on facebook already. Roads however were quiet with almost no car traffic on. Avoid butterflies in future with one central control visited three times. Butterflies with Phi loops are better.</p>
POL:	<p>First half of Middle resembled more Long distance. The forkings on both Relays were too different timewise, good forkings should be able to be done in same time for fairness, now it was many minutes difference in longest and shortest. Other quarantines than Sprint had only little amount of shelter, Sprint instead one of the best ever. Middle and Long distance run-ins maybe bit hazardous with both on a downhill, OK if beam finish, punch finish maybe not so. Control stands were bit flimsy on Mixed Sprint Relay. Refreshment hand-outs on Long worked fine, didn't use the table so don't know how that worked.</p> <p>Surprising challenging and beautiful terrains. Great course planning.</p> <p>Thanks for a technically fulfilling event.</p> <p>I don't see the sense of a quarantine for the Masters at the Sprint when you can see the map and the courses on the big screen at the arena before your start. Some blue (instead of brown) contour lines on the Long map. I'd expect some sort of quality check for a WOC map.</p> <p>About sprint : quarantine zone was open to Master when last Elite starters was still inside. Another thing about sprint : the last controls were accessible from the the riders who ended their race, before the last finishers.</p> <p>Overall excellent organisation. Loved the finish arenas with lots happening and lots of small tents for businesses etc. Needed a food stand to buy hot food and drinks. Warm up areas generally good. MSR was too small. Never saw any marshals in the woods, and no road crossings, so safety not such an issue. Many opposite direction legs, in middle and long which was a little dangerous at times. Good the masters and youth were separate from elite and juniors, but in future is it possible to separate elite and junior too? Can red group women start with orange group men?</p> <p>Finish ride in at relay for handing over riders was a bit dangerous because of the edge right after the finish punch before handing over. Mixed Relay loops should be in different parts of the Terrain. Up to now I haven't done a single mixed relay where much orienteering was needed on the second loop. First controls in Mixed Relay were also too close to the start - risk of crashes.</p> <p>Masters entered quarantine while red group was warming up both for sprint and middle!!! Course setting for long distance was not optimal. A map change or two could have helped here. Also for other distances a map change could have made the maps easier to read.</p> <p>More tents in the Quarantine zones necessary! the weather was rainy!</p> <p>No food, Coffee selling at the events</p>

7. Maps

DEN:	<p>Control numbers were sometimes not well-placed: At first glance it wasn't obvious which number belongs to which control, connecting lines were interrupted because of a number, on sprint map numbers weren't well visible because they weren't in bold print.</p> <p>There was a previous mtb-o map from the mass-start terrain (used at least in mtb-o camp 2009) that wasn't published on internet on the organizers web site. The only available map was a foot-o map.</p> <p>Good maps. Only little things were wrong. One control at the relay (number 6 on my course) was not on the track.</p> <p>Map and courses were excellent.</p> <p>the control numbers 11, 12, and 13 in Sprint (men elite) could be placed in a better way on the map...</p> <p>Sprint + Long map was very good. Relay map had tracks in the forest which were not mapped which is no good if you are supposed to stay on the tracks. Track classification was also a bit strange in some parts of the relay map.</p> <p>Placing of control number has to be done carefully. e.g. Sprint.</p> <p>Sprint: one barrier was moved and a fallen tree wasn't on the map Long: the control numbers were placed badly Mixed relay: the map wasn't quality checked.</p> <p>The control number placing on map was not correct - it was too far from controls, thus making it hard/impossible to notice.</p> <p>Paved roads on the long map were not very visible. The question is, if it was problem of printing or if it's a general issue of ISMTBOM.</p>
SWE:	<p>While the print quality was fine, the paper was not. Pretex 120gsm is usually favoured, easy to fold and easy to punch with map holder! Some junctions were covered in the long and middle race.</p> <p>Never use a foil again.</p> <p>Map material (plastic) in Long and Middle was almost unfoldable! It is a good step to have water resistant maps but they should still be foldable!</p> <p>The paper of some maps was too stiff, it was not really possible to fold the map.</p> <p>The junctions on the map were not connected, there were many extra "paths" in the middle distance terrain making it hard to tell the one on the map and not on the map from each other. The paper (middle and long) was too hard for folding and putting in the map holder.</p> <p>In the long distance's butterflies the overprinting did cover some tracks, so it was a bit harder to read the map.</p>
POL:	<p>Remarks above are for the World Championship, not for the training events. The model event too could be extended a bit. We know that the primary aim of the model event is to get familiarized to the mapper's interpretation of features, but it is nice for participants to combine this with a bit of training as well.</p> <p>Generally over printing was fine. Apart from control 50 in the long. When 50% of athletes go wrong, then something is amiss! Circle could have been cut to show the tracks didn't connect.</p>

POL:	<p>The sprint map did really well show if shortcuts was possible (white) or not (green).</p> <p>The planning of the middle and especially long courses with multiple overlapping loops made it very difficult to tell the order of the controls.</p> <p>Take a better paper material (the surface of the paper of the long distance maps was damaged).</p> <p>Usually overprinting no trouble, but on Long a really big problem with control 50. Tired athletes, but still hard area to read even after race.</p>
-------------	---

8. Time-keeping and results

DEN:	<p>No results at finish arena and no display of GPS tracking - such a shame.</p> <p>Split times without place between each controls.</p> <p>The results were shown after the whole competition. I didn't know what place I was for a long time!!!</p> <p>Result display in the finish area was basically not existent, except at sprint where they put up an A4-paper rather late.</p> <p>Small results board. Could have been larger and more visible. Split times were not published until late.</p> <p>Only small board with results in finish arena on sprint. Almost no printed information on long and relay.</p>
SWE:	<p>Honouring the wrong rider at the prize giving like in Women's Middle Distance should never happen! It's not very nice if you wait to be called up and then organizers just ignore the correct results.</p>
POL:	<p>Since bad internet hard to tell.</p> <p>Results format too simple, no possibility to analyse splits from results.</p> <p>Very good display of results at the finish area - but only the best riders in each class were displayed (i.e. I could not see my own result).</p> <p>It is time to use a touchless System for punching and finish time keeping!</p>

9. Team officials' meetings and information during event

DEN:	<p>Poor information at meetings. No start or finish arena maps showing final control, route to finish etc. Bulletin 4 was used and little extra information was given unless asked.</p> <p>Information on gps athletes was not available and organisers did not mention it until questioned. Finish procedure was not mentioned (light beam etc). Start method for mass start was complicated and inadequate. Trying to make everyone ride the same distance is not good. It was hard to run to bikes with so many athletes going to different places and then hard to run to line without crashing into others. Better to start red group athletes at the front with orange behind in rows of 5_10 athletes. Bikes in the same order to avoid crashes. Please can event officials stop bringing competition maps to team meetings and start/finish arena, it's not the first time I have seen this and on occasions have seen part of the map. Organisers did not know without checking map if there were off track controls in sprint... Not announced in bulletin 2.</p>
-------------	---

DEN:	<p>TL meetings felt more like a family gathering. Going through the Bulletin and discussing some questions is not what I'd expect from a TL Meeting.</p> <p>There were some short delays with start list, but everything was online in time.</p>
SWE:	<p>It is nice to have the organisers present at TOM.</p> <p>The IOF Adviser did a very fine job. And the course setter too. But I have never before realised that the organisers did not at all be present at several of the team leaders meetings.</p> <p>It was my first experience, that the IOF event advisor made the team leaders meeting. Normally this is part of the event organizers?</p> <p>Team leader meeting and website should be organised and updated by the event organising team not the event advisor. A too small team!</p> <p>Really liked the team meetings at 1730. Very convenient. Thank you. Worked well with the competition schedule. Perhaps a little disorganised at times, but correct decisions were made. POWERPOINT presentations! Please!</p>
POL:	<p>Unless you were related to an elite team it was difficult to obtain the necessary information as a Master. However, this is a general problem and not specific to this event. Why can't Masters be given a bulletin as well? It doesn't have to be a coloured brochure, some black and white copies would do. The information for the Masters has to be given in advance though and not only during the event online as not all Masters have internet access then. The TL meetings should be for the elite and not the Masters, otherwise they get too crowded. There's no reason why the startlists for the Masters can't be published 2 weeks or so before the event.</p> <p>I wasn't there but people complained about too long team leader Meetings and that there was too much talk about masters there. All the Information for the masters has to be in the Bulletin so that it's not necessary to attend for them.</p> <p>Masters startlists can published week before the event.</p> <p>7pm is too late for TL meetings as causes everything else to be too rushed for athlete team leaders. 5pm in Sweden worked really well. The more info in B4, the shorter the meetings can be.</p> <p>Having had the experience of an "informative session for Masters" in Hungary, I think this would be a good example to keep up, even if it prolongs the work of the organizer for another half hour or so. Not every team leader is in full communication with the masters of that country, or it may be the case that the masters do not have any elite or juniors participation in the event. The first team leaders' meeting (unofficial mixed relay) was not prepared for, the event director did not know what a teams leader's meeting should be like. I appreciate Igor's work and know he had a very difficult job on the whole, but a World Championship is very demanding and a suitable number of competent staff is necessary so that there is an efficient division in labor.</p> <p>Is it the task of event advisors to do the team leader meetings?</p>

10. Publicity, media, press

DEN:	<p>Very little media coverage to date.</p> <p>What media??? Competition centre of sprint was extremely well hidden from the outside World, but of course that was good and safe for the competition, not for media or public. Did hardly see any media anywhere, neither much advertising about the event.</p> <p>No media facilities at all.</p> <p>In my opinion absolutely nothing was done at this WCup to make MTBO visible.</p>
SWE:	<p>No GPS-tracking, but Speaker was really good.</p> <p>There was no gps-tracking which I think should be a standard already in WC. Though I know that the organization was small and it would have increased the amount of work.</p>
POL:	<p>Having a big screen creates expectations - requiring better organisation of that part.</p> <p>Good initiative by organisers with big screens and gps, but they didn't managed to take the advantage of it.</p> <p>The GPS tracking system operator did not know his job. The full map was being shown at first. That was put right later.</p> <p>Some issues with showing elite GPS in the finish when masters were there to spectate. Some masters also entered quarantine early which could have led to information transfer to elites. Perhaps showing a map on the tracking with just the optimum routes and blanking out everything else such as the map, control codes, north lines etc? This would mean the masters could still watch, but only see the controls and best route choices, but not know the location, direction, codes, map overview etc.</p> <p>GPS-Tracking did not work very well. In Sprint not at all, probably because the GPS-Units were stored in a house and switched off before everybody started. In the other races not so good either with big gaps. Why are polish organizers not able to produce starting bibs according to the starting order????</p> <p>I participate at Worldcups and Worldchampionships since 2001. In my opinion the Worldchampionships in Italy in 2011 had the best publicity concept ever. Very often I see this part to few considered. Very often the competitions start and finish in the forest without true spectators!</p>

11. General organisation

DEN:	<p>Same courses used so good,maps and courses.</p> <p>No bike wash at finish arenas, only in event centre. NO bike mechanic service available. Possible to find some bike shops in the Town, but short opening hours as it was public holidays most of the race Days.</p> <p>Bike wash in the event center was good. No bike wash in the finish areas. Lucky that it did not rain. No mechanic service provided at all. All shops were closed on Friday, so we could not even go to a bike shop in town.</p> <p>Bike wash was good. We did not need a mechanic so I don't rate.</p> <p>I haven't used external mechanic or repair shops and I don't have a clear idea about public races.</p>
-------------	---

DEN:	No bike wash at finish arenas. I wasn't aware of any bike repair services provided by the organiser. It was good that open competitors could ride (part of) the elite courses.
SWE:	<p>Didn't use bike wash.</p> <p>Used O-ringen bike wash at camping.</p> <p>There could have been one more open competition.</p> <p>No real bike wash at Long Distance. It would have been nice to wash the bike properly after the last race. At the other races I didn't look for it.</p>
POL:	<p>Bike wash was excellent :-)</p> <p>The bike mechanic was excellent but interesting that you had to travel right into Bialystok if you needed a spare tyre. We don't expect a full display of spare parts at the arena but at least spare inner tubes, tyres, some lubricants maybe, etc.</p>

12. Ceremonies and banquet

DEN:	<p>It's handy for organizers and also for racers getting prizes (since they usually start late) that the prize-giving ceremonies are right after the race in the finish arenas, but there are almost no spectators when competitors starting early have already left and random spectators won't find their way to the race venues. A flowerceremony could be better and then a more worthy prize-giving ceremony in the evening in the local city centre or somewhere where it can get some publicity and more spectators. Do we need a formal opening ceremony if it's just to bike down to the Town Square, listening to one or two speeches and cycling back. Can't that be done in the event centre? It's another thing if the opening ceremony has been announced locally and made of public interest in the local community, then it can be an opportunity to promote the sport.</p> <p>More effort could have been made with the prize giving arrangements. Diplomas are a nice extra touch and perhaps a better quality podium. No flags raised.</p> <p>Prize-giving ceremonies felt more like some national or even regional event.</p> <p>Prize giving for long was little bit earlier than announced. In general it is good but there was lack of information and our competitor Jiri Hradil who came on the official time was admonished by the organizer for being late.</p>
SWE:	<p>The prize giving at the o-ringen opening ceremony was definitely dignified, though I don't know if the audience understood it. Other prize giving ceremonies were as usual in WC, other people don't really get the chance to see it at all as they don't know about it.</p> <p>Please can the IOF/organisers provide medals/diplomas again. It makes the podium pictures look better and is more dignified for athletes. Prize giving ceremonies felt like a joke at times; flags in front of top 3 in sprint distance ... At the moment, apart from the personal pride of a good result, there isn't much reason to stand on the podium. This is a WORLD CUP event. I am sure there must be plenty of potential prize sponsors to be found.</p>
POL:	<p>The banquet included dishes which were (I presume) very traditional, and not really possible to understand what they were as displayed. Maybe for a banquet either aim at more universal food or, if necessary, put a small tag at the bottom of each dish explaining the ingredients. It would be better maybe to hold the prize-giving on the last day in the arena to catch more before they leave for their trip back home. National anthems should</p>

POL:	<p>be pre-checked. You have short versions readily available on the internet which can be used instead of cutting short a national anthem before it ends. Do we really need national anthems and flag hoisting for the masters?</p> <p>Prize givings were always quite late. It would have been nice to stick to the schedule. The food at the banquet was really nice but why was there free beer at the junior's Party and not at the elite Party? No Music and dancing at the elite Party was really poor. Actually the band that played at the opening ceremony would have been perfect for the Party, the opening ceremony was unfortunately the wrong opportunity for that.</p> <p>The need to attend prize giving meant not much time for anything else. It is often assumed that athletes will not ride in the evening, but most of the top riders do. This and the need to go to TL meetings, leaves little time for anything else like training and relaxing. Prize giving starting late by 30 minutes most days didn't help either. Promptness is good, at event prize giving is also good! Liked the big stage and arena however.</p> <p>No food left when we arrived (in time)</p> <p>For the Masters the prize giving ceremonies weren't really worthy. It shouldn't be that the Masters are called last to the podium, after the unofficial youth event. There were numerous "administrative" mistakes: At the first ceremony the national anthems were played too long; missing or wrong flags, classes weren't called to the podium in the same order every day; sometimes there were 3 Masters on the podium, sometimes 6 etc. The last ceremony started much too late.</p>
-------------	---

13. Overall rating of the event

DEN:	<p>Excellent maps and courses, but sub standard information, team meetings, quarantine, arena setup and prize giving.</p> <p>The in-forest business was mostly good - excellent. But apart from that everything was very simply organised, just the bare necessities. It didn't feel like a World Cup at all.</p> <p>Compared to what have been seen in the World cup races during last years this was definitely one of the higher end events. No major disasters, good maps, things generally running fine, good accommodation and food and races mainly near event centre.</p> <p>It was nice event, even it looks more like local competition than world cup.</p> <p>The World cup should be more than just interesting courses: there should be at least a minimum effort from the organizers to promote our sport and WorldCup. The competition reminded a good mass training, but not a WCup.</p>
SWE:	<p>Map quality and courses were good, but the rest of the organisation reminded more of an evening event for a few riders.</p> <p>There were some things that made the event not so perfect, as we know the safety issues maybe the biggest problems. Still I think organizers managed the problems that appeared before the events quite well.</p>

SWE:	<p>I appreciate the effort of the organisers and EA for putting on this event. Unfortunately the organisation was far too understaffed to host an efficient event. O-Ringen should be given the opportunity to host a World Cup again. It is a FANTASTIC opportunity to promote MTBO to the wider orienteering community. However, a single MTBO director is needed from O-Ringen whose sole responsibility is the MTBO organisation. They should be given the resources they need to run the event, rather than the bare minimum. There should also be someone experienced in MTBO organisation. Hundreds of competitors took part in the open and O-ringen MTBO events which was great to see. While the courses were good and made the best of the terrains, experience was lacking. There were numerous oversights from the organisation, and led to a competition that overall, didn't feel like a World Cup. I train full time for MTBO, and I expect future events to be worthy of my time and effort (and money) to travel to these races. A little more consideration would have made a good event.</p>
POL:	<p>Thanks!</p> <p>Many thanks again to the organizers Igor, Konrad in the Event Center, our drivers of the official transport, the nice ladies in the restaurant of the event center, Sixten and Simon who were still working hard until early hours of the morning in front of their computer for a faultless event.</p> <p>All in all a very well-organised and enjoyable event - thanks a lot!</p> <p>Excellent event. Very well done by the organisers, mappers, planners, EA and nat controller, and all the volunteers and marshals. Thank You.</p> <p>I have experienced minor fails in the map, but from like 97percent the maps were perfect. I was surprised, because I was getting ready for very bad maps, according to team colleagues the last international Champs in Poland were disaster. Only bigger complaint is about the food provided by organiser in the canteen. I have missed a lot pasta and muesli. And maybe changing the side dishes would be good idea, eating potatoes and carrot for whole week is a little bit too much. Rice would make the job as well. Hope this will help somehow :)</p> <p>Also varied and good quality model events (appreciated at the rest day) and fine warm up areas at Middle and Long.</p>

Nov. 2014/uh